



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

**MR. JOHN O. GUROSIK  
800 BRANDY CAMP ROAD  
KERSEY PA 15846**

**COPY MAILED**

**JAN 07 2010**

In re Application of :  
Gurosiik, John O. :  
Application No. 10/521,897 : ON PETITION  
Filed: January 18, 2005 :  
Title: COUPLING APPARATUS :  
:

This is a decision on the petitions, filed January 2, 2009, June 9, 2009 and July 8, 2009, which are being treated as a petition under 37 CFR 1.8(b), requesting withdrawal of the holding of abandonment in the above-identified application.

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

This application was held abandoned for failure to timely and properly respond to the Office action of August 3, 2007, which set a three (3) month shortened statutory period for reply. Accordingly, as a three-month extension of time was submitted on November 1, 2007, a reply was due on or before February 3, 2008. An Advisory Action, issued by the examiner of record, was mailed April 9, 2008. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed August 28, 2008.

Petitioner states that a timely reply was submitted. On January 24, 2008, the following papers were received by the USPTO: Letter (3 pages), Drawings (1 page) and a CD.

However, as stated in the Advisory Action mailed April 9, 2008, the above reply did not place the application in condition for allowance. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may be: (1) an amendment, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

A copy of the Advisory Action issued by the Examiner is enclosed.

**ALTERNATIVE VENUE**

Petitioner is strongly encouraged to consider filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) to revive an unintentionally abandoned application instead of filing a renewed petition under 37 CFR 1.181.

A grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

- (1) The reply required to the outstanding Office action or notice, unless previously filed. In nonprovisional utility application abandoned for failure to respond to a non-final Office action, the required reply may be met by filing either (A) an argument or amendment under 37 CFR 1.111 or (B) a continuing application under 37 CFR 1.53(b).

- (2) The petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m), **\$810.00 for a small entity;**
- (3) A statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition was unintentional. The Director may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional.

A form for filing a petition to revive an unintentionally abandoned application accompanies this decision for petitioner's convenience. If petitioner desires to file a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) instead of filing a request for reconsideration, petitioner must complete the enclosed petition form (PTO/SB/64) and pay the \$810.00 petition fee.

Petitioner may wish to consider hiring a registered patent attorney or agent to assist in the prosecution of this application. Additionally, petitioner is encouraged to contact the Inventors Assistance Center (IAC) by telephone at 800-786-9199 or 571-272-1000, Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:30 PM (EST). The IAC provides patent information and services to the public and is staffed by former Supervisory Patent Examiners and experienced Primary Examiners who answer general questions concerning patent examining policy and procedure.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail:                    Mail Stop PETITIONS  
                                  Commissioner for Patents  
                                  Post Office Box 1450  
                                  Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By hand:                    Customer Window located at:  
  
                                  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
                                  Customer Service Window Randolph Building  
                                  401 Dulany Street  
                                  Alexandria, VA 22314

By fax:                    (571) 273-8300  
                                  ATTN: Office of Petitions

Any questions concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3206.

Liana Walsh  
Petitions Examiner  
Office of Petitions

Enclosure:    Advisory Action (3 pages)  
                  Petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) (3 pages)



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/521,897	01/18/2005	John O. Gurosik		7143	
7590	04/09/2008		EXAMINER		
Mr. John O. Gurosik 800 Brandy Camp Road Kersey, PA 15846		FERGUSON, MICHAEL P			
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		3679			
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
		04/09/2008	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/521,897	<b>Applicant(s)</b> GUROSIK, JOHN O.
	<b>Examiner</b> MICHAEL P. FERGUSON	<b>Art Unit</b> 3679

***--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --***

THE REPLY FILED 24 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
  - a)  The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
  - b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### NOTICE OF APPEAL

2.  The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

#### AMENDMENTS

3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
  - (a)  They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
  - (b)  They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
  - (c)  They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
  - (d)  They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: \_\_\_\_\_. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): \_\_\_\_\_.
6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)  will not be entered, or b)  will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) rejected: 1-20.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_.

#### AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

#### REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: \_\_\_\_\_.
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.
13.  Other: See Continuation Sheet.

/Michael P. Ferguson/  
Examiner  
Art Unit: 3679

Continuation of 13. Other:

Applicant's arguments filed January 24, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's arguments fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.111(b) because they amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references. Accordingly, the rejection of claims 1-20 under 35 USC 102 in view of Anderson et al. (US 4,663,922), as set forth in the previous Office action, is still believed to be proper.